

# Solving the Conveyor Selection Problems in a Manufacturing Industry with the Grey Relational Analysis (GRA) Technique

\*Vidhya Prasanth, M Ramachandran, Ramya sharma, Chinnasami Sivaji

*REST Labs, Kaveripattinam, Krishnagiri, Tamil Nādu, India.* Corresponding Author Email: prasanthvidhya69@gmail.com

Abstract: The most crucial component of modern production systems, "material handling equipment (MHE)", is becoming increasingly crucial to the plant's effectiveness. Due to the significant capital expenditure needed, choosing the best MHE is a very difficult and complicated undertaking for fabrication organizations. The choice of "material handling equipment (MHE) is a multi-criterion decision-making (MCDM)" problem that is continually growing and is impacted by a wide range of factors. An important factor in determining a manufacturing organization's effectiveness and competitiveness on the world market is the appropriate decision of MHE. It is expensive and time to choose the best MHE for a particular engineering purpose, and numerous candidate options on the marketplace are taken into consideration as the first options. It has been discovered that using "multiple criteria decision making (MCDM)" is an effective method for analysing these competing aspects. Language is typically used to express the language assessment of "MHE options within the context of numerous subjective judgments and the weights of the factors". The GRA is used in this research to solve the conveyor picking issue. The decision is made based on how well the conveyor and operational features mesh. The goal is to choose a conveyor that is both cost- and energy-efficient. The rank for Conveyor 1 is third, Conveyor 2 is second, Conveyor 3 is first, and Conveyor 4 is fourth. The ranking order is "C3 > C2 > C1 > C4". Conveyor 3 was discovered to be the best conveyor among the selected alternate conveyors, as per the Grey Relational Analysis (GRA) technique. The people who make decisions' desire for choosing the best conveyor was significantly influenced by "Item weight, Flexibility, and Speed of conveyor."

**Keywords:** Conveyor, Material handling equipment, Grey system, Speed of conveyor, Item weight and Flexibility and MCDM.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A relatively brief movement that typically occurs inside the walls of a facility, like a plant or a storehouse, as well as between a structure and a conveyance agency, is referred to as "material handling (MH)". Contrary to production (i.e., manufacture and assembly processes), which provides "form utility" by altering "the shape, form, and composition of material", it can be utilized to create "time and place utility" through the processing, storage, and management of material [1]. It is frequently asserted that MH simply increases a company's financial performance and does not increase its worth. While "MH does not give a product form usefulness, the moment and location utility" it offers can raise the benefits of a brand after MH has occurred [2]. Consideration of MH as a cost that should be minimized is a frequent approach to the development of MH solutions (MHSs). While MH can bring significant value to the goods, it is typically challenging to detect and measure the advantages of MH; in contrast, it is much simpler to detect and measure the expenses of MH. For this reason, this technique may be the most effective in many cases ("the cost of MH equipment, the cost of indirect MH labor") [3]. Substitute MHS projects are designed after the design of a manufacturing operation is finished, excluding MH implications. Each of these designs fulfils the MH criteria of the manufacturing phase. Next, the MHS design with the lowest cost is chosen. Depending on how much the other components of the assembly process can be altered, it may be fair to use MHS price as the only factor for choosing an MHS architecture [4]. The price of the commodity is the best criterion to employ when choosing an MHS if a brand-new facility and method of manufacture are being constructed; the least expensive MHS might not produce the least total price of production. The only factor that needs to be considered is MHS expense if it is too expensive to even contemplate modifying the fundamental design of a building and the manufacturing methods [5]. Unless a new factory and production line are being planned, it can be challenging to consider all the costs of complete production aspects at once in actual practice. The design elements that have the biggest influence on overall cost are eventually fixed and turn into limitations for the other design elements [6]. "A mechanical handling device" for quickly

and effectively moving loads and commodities automatically around a space is a conveyor machine. Among other advantages, this technology minimizes human factors, lowers workplace hazards, and lowers labor expenses. They help transport large or heavy objects from one place to another. To move objects, a conveyer belt may make use of "a belt, wheels, rollers, or a chain" [7]. A belt is generally extended across two or even more pulleys in conveyors. To allow for continuous rotation, the belt creates a closed circuit well around pulleys. One pulley, referred to as the driving pulley, hoists or moves the belt that transports objects from one place to some other [8]. "The drive pulley and belt" are powered by a rotor in most conveyor system configurations. The friction among contact objects keeps the belt fastened to the rotor. The driving pulley and idler must rotate in the very same manner, either right to left to right, for the belt to travel efficiently [9]. Although typical conveyor systems, like those seen in moving platforms and supermarkets, are straight, there are occasions when the unit must turn to transport the items to their intended position. Distinctive cone-shaped tires or rotors are used for the curves so that the belt may follow a curve or twist without becoming tangled [10]. Conveyors are "automated transportation systems" that move cargo along a predetermined path from one location to another. Inappropriate conveyor choices will raise production turnaround time and nonvalue-adding period in excess. A fabrication organization must use an effective conveyor to efficiently handle materials from one division to another to eliminate these timeframes [11]. The right choice of a conveyor is influenced by several variables, including the conveyor's pace, the weight and shape of the item to be conveyed, and the range to be covered. " Chain conveyors, screw conveyors, roller conveyors, belt conveyors, and others" are some of the most common conveyor systems used in many sectors [12]. This article's primary goal is to provide the top conveyor-picking choices and to offer a preferences-ranked list for such MHE options. "The conveyor selection problem" is initially resolved using four choices and six parameters.

# 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The "grey system concept" is a method for looking at ambiguity that excels at mathematically evaluating systems with hazy insights. According to "the grey system notion," a white system appears to include all the readily available information whereas a black scheme appears to get all the doubtful wisdom [13]. "A grey system" is one that only has the least part of recognized details. " Grey relational analysis (GRA), grey decision, grey programming, and grey control" are the main parts of the grey systems approach. GRA is part of the grey systems approach, which helps tackle challenges with intricate interconnections between various components and quantities [14]. Therefore, the GRA technique has been extensively employed to address uncertainty issues arising from discontinuous data and partial knowledge. Additionally, the GRA approach is one of the most widely used techniques for examining numerous associations between discrete data collections and for making conclusions when dealing with several attributes. The main benefits of the GRA technique are that it is some of the best ways to make judgments in a corporate context, the computations are easy to understand, and the conclusions are dependent on the raw data [15]. Widespread use of "Deng's (1982) grey systems approach" in a variety of domains. It has been demonstrated to be practical for coping with "inaccurate, insufficient, and ambiguous info". "Grey relational analysis (GRA) is a branch of the grey systems approach", which can be used to solve issues involving complex interactions between several different elements and elements [16]. Numerous MADM issues, including "hiring decisions (Olson & Wu, 2006), restoration planning for power distribution systems (Chen, 2005), an inspection of integrated circuit marking processes (Jiang, Tasi, & Wang, 2002), modelling of quality function deployment (Wu, 2002), defect detection in silicon wafer slicing (Lin et al., 2006)", etc., have been effectively addressed using GRA [17]. By incorporating all the achievement similarity measures considered for each option into a fixed value, GRA can help address MADM troubles. As a result, the original issue is reduced to a judgement issue involving a single attribute. As a result, following the GRA procedure, solutions with numerous characteristics can be simply evaluated [18]. Furthermore, a comparison sequence is created by converting the behavior of each possibility into the primary step of GRA. The term "grey relational generating" refers to this phase. Based on those sequences, "a standard sequence (ideal target sequence)" is determined. Finally, "the grey relational correlation between all similarity variants and the benchmark pattern" is determined [19]. "The grey relational grade" between each comparable pattern and the benchmark pattern is then generated based on those "grey relational coefficients". The optimal variant is the one whose converted comparable sequence has "the greatest grey relational grade among the reference sequence and itself" [20].

**Step 1.** "Design of decision matrix and weight matrix" For "an MCDM problem" consisting of "*m* alternatives and *n* criteria, let  $D = x_{ij}$  be a decision matrix, where  $x_{ij} \in R$ "

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & \cdots & x_{1n} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & \cdots & x_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{m1} & x_{m2} & \cdots & x_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$$

Step 2. "Normalization of decision matrix"

Formulae 2 and 3 are used, respectively, to analyze whether normalizing two data sets is better whenever the higher type is assessed or stronger when the lesser type is. The information after normalization varies from zero to one.

Vidhya.et.al / Aeronautical and Aerospace Engineering, 1(4), December 2023, 32-38

$$M_{ij} = \frac{N_{ij} - \min(N_{ij})}{\max(N_{ij}) - \min(N_{ij})}$$

$$M_{ij} = \frac{\max(N_{ij}) - N_{ij}}{\max(N_{ij}) - \min(N_{ij})}$$
3

Where  $i, j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ 

**Step 3.** "Deviation = the max value after normalization – value of the current row" 4

**Step 4.** Computation of "Gray relation coefficient"  $C_{ij} = \frac{\Delta_{min} - \xi \Delta_{max}}{Current \, value - \xi \Delta_{max}}, where \, zeta \, (\xi) \, is \, distinguishing \, coefficient \qquad 5$ 

Step 5. Computation of "Gray relation grade"

It represents the Gray Relation Coefficient on averages. After that, options are ordered using the "Gray Relation Coefficient's average" [21,22]. This article's primary goal is to provide the top conveyor-picking choices and to offer a preferences-ranked list for such MHE options. " The conveyor selection problem" is initially resolved using four choices and six parameters. Six evaluation criteria are "Fixed cost (FC) in  $\epsilon$ /h, Variable cost (VC) in  $\epsilon$ /h, Speed of conveyor (S) in m/min, Item width (IWI) in cm, Item weight (IWE) in kg and Flexibility (F)". Here "Speed of conveyor, Item width, Item weight and Flexibility" is beneficial criteria. "Fixed cost, Variable cost" are non-beneficial criteria.

#### 3. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

TABLE 1. Quantitative data for the alternate conveyors

Alternatives	S	IWI	IWE	F	FC	VC
C1	10	8.5	5	7	1.75	0.425
C2	11	11	5	9	2.075	0.425
C3	9	16.5	10	9	2	0.44
C4	8	14	7.5	7	2.15	0.44

Table 1 shows "the initial decision matrix for the conveyor selection problem". Here we consider four conveyors "Conveyor 1 (C1), Conveyor 2 (C2), Conveyor 3 (C3) and Conveyor 4 (C4)" as alternates. After consideration, "Fixed cost (FC) in  $\epsilon/h$ , Variable cost (VC) in  $\epsilon/h$ , Speed of conveyor (S) in m/min, Item width (IWI) in cm, Item weight (IWE) in kg and Flexibility (F)". Here "Speed of conveyor, Item width, Item weight and Flexibility" is beneficial criteria. "Fixed cost, Variable cost" are non-beneficial criteria.



FIGURE 1. Quantitative data for alternative Conveyors

Figure 1 illustrates "the initial decision matrix for the conveyor selection problem". Here we consider four conveyors "Conveyor 1 (C1), Conveyor 2 (C2), Conveyor 3 (C3) and Conveyor 4 (C4)" as alternates. After consideration, "Fixed cost (FC) in  $\notin$ /h, Variable cost (VC) in  $\notin$ /h, Speed of conveyor (S) in m/min, Item width (IWI) in cm, Item weight (IWE) in kg and Flexibility (F)". Here "Speed of conveyor, Item width, Item weight and Flexibility" is beneficial criteria. "Fixed cost, Variable cost" are non-beneficial criteria.

<b>TABLE 2.</b> Normalized matrix					
0.6667	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000
1.0000	0.3125	0.0000	1.0000	0.1875	1.0000
0.3333	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.3750	0.0000
0.0000	0.6875	0.5000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

Table 2 shows the normalized array for material properties of alternative conveyors. This is calculated using equation 2 for beneficial criteria ("Speed of conveyor, Item width, Item weight and Flexibility") and equation 3 for non-beneficial criteria ("Fixed cost, Variable cost").

<b>TABLE 3.</b> Deviation sequence					
0.3333	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
0.0000	0.6875	1.0000	0.0000	0.8125	0.0000
0.6667	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.6250	1.0000
1.0000	0.3125	0.5000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000

Table 3 shows the Deviation sequence matrix for the conveyor selection problem. This value is calculated using equation 4, that is Maximum value of the column of normalized value is subtracted from the current value of the normalized matrix.

TABLE 4. Grey Relation Coefficient					
0.6000	0.3333	0.3333	0.3333	1.0000	1.0000
1.0000	0.4211	0.3333	1.0000	0.3810	1.0000
0.4286	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.4444	0.3333
0.3333	0.6154	0.5000	0.3333	0.3333	0.3333

Table 4 shows the Grey Relation Coefficient matrix for the conveyor selection problem. This value is calculated using equation 5 and the zeta value is 0.5. Table 3 Deviation sequence matrix is for calculating the "Grey Relation Coefficient".

TABLE 5. GRG				
Alternatives	GRG			
Conveyor 1	0.6000			
Conveyor 2	0.6892			
Conveyor 3	0.7011			
Conveyor 4	0.4081			

Table 5 shows the Grey Relation Grade value for alternate conveyors. Its average values of "the Grey Relation Coefficient" using table 4. Here "Grey Relation Grade value for Conveyor 1 is 0.6, Conveyor 2 is 0.6892, Conveyor 3 is 0.7011 and Conveyor 4 is 0.4081".



FIGURE 2. Grey Relation Grade

Figure 2 shows the graphical representation of the Grey Relation Grade value for alternate conveyors. Its average values of "the Grey Relation Coefficient" using table 4. Here "Grey Relation Grade value for Conveyor 1 is 0.6, Conveyor 2 is 0.6892, Conveyor 3 is 0.7011 and Conveyor 4 is 0.4081".

TABLE 6. The rank			
Alternatives	Rank		
Conveyor 1	3		
Conveyor 2	2		
Conveyor 3	1		
Conveyor 4	4		

Table 5 shows the rank of the alternate materials taken for this paper by ranking Grey Relation Grade values using table 5. Here rank for Conveyor 1 is third, Conveyor 2 is second, Conveyor 3 is first, and Conveyor 4 is fourth. The ranking order is "C3 > C2 > C1 > C4".



FIGURE 3. The rank of alternate materials

Figure 3 shows a graphical representation of the alternate materials taken for this paper by ranking Grey Relation Grade values using table 5. Here rank for Conveyor 1 is third, Conveyor 2 is second, Conveyor 3 is first, and Conveyor 4 is fourth. The ranking order is "C3 > C2 > C1 > C4". Conveyor 3 was discovered to be the best conveyor among the selected alternate conveyors, as per the Grey Relational Analysis (GRA) technique.

## 4. CONCLUSION

The number of MHE kinds, such as "hand carts, fork trucks, automated-guided vehicles, conveyors, robots, automated storage and retrieval systems", computerized picking devices, etc., has increased dramatically in subsequent years. In a manufacturing business, the MHE could be used in a variety of settings, including exporting, and importing, production, arrangement, storage, etc. Limits placed by the site and resources, various conflicting specific designs, "unpredictability in the operational area, and the broad variety of machinery kinds and models accessible" are the main causes of the adoption model process' intricacy. Due to these factors, both concrete (such as "load capacity, energy consumption, cost, etc.") and qualitative (such as "flexibility, reliability, performance", etc.) factors must be considered by the decision-makers (DM). Therefore, in the existence of numerous analyses and qualitative factors, MHE selection is seen as "a multiple criteria decision making (MCDM) problem". Additionally, selecting MHE is heavily influenced by the DM's choices due to the ambiguity in the operating situation. Furthermore, it is exceedingly challenging to create a specific condition that may exactly express why one option is preferred more than another. The rank for "Conveyor 1 is third, Conveyor 2 is second, Conveyor 3 is first, Conveyor 4 is fourth". The ranking order is "C3 > C2 > C1 > C4". "Conveyor 3 was discovered to be the best conveyor" among the selected alternate conveyors, as per "the Grey Relational Analysis (GRA) technique".

# REFERENCES

[1]. Goswami, Shankha Shubhra, and Dhiren Kumar Behera. "Solving material handling equipment selection problems in an industry with the help of entropy integrated COPRAS and ARAS MCDM techniques." Process Integration and Optimization for Sustainability 5, no. 4 (2021): 947-973. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s41660-021-00192-5</u>

- [2]. Chakraborty, Shankar, and Debabrata Banik. "Design of a material handling equipment selection model using analytic hierarchy process." *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology* 28 (2006): 1237-1245. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-004-2467-v
- [3]. Onut, Semih, Selin Soner Kara, and Sinan Mert. "Selecting the suitable material handling equipment in the presence of vagueness." *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology* 44, no. 7-8 (2009): 818-828. DOI: <u>10.1007/s00170-008-1897-3</u>
- [4]. Madhusudhan Dasari sreeramulu, "Sensitive Analysis of Natural Language Processing Using for MOORA Method", Computer Science, Engineering and Technology, 1(1), March 2023, 46-53.
- [5]. Chan, F. T. S., R. W. L. Ip, and H. Lau. "Integration of expert system with analytic hierarchy process for the design of material handling equipment selection system." *Journal of Materials Processing Technology* 116, no. 2-3 (2001): 137-145. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-0136(01)01038-X</u>
- [6]. Verma, Pradeep. "Effective Execution of Mergers and Acquisitions for IT Supply Chain." International Journal of Computer Trends and Technology 70, no. 7 (2022): 8-10.
- [7]. Mathew, Manoj, and Sagar Sahu. "Comparison of new multi-criteria decision-making methods for material handling equipment selection." *Management Science Letters* 8, no. 3 (2018): 139-150. DOI: <u>10.5267/j.msl.2018.1.004</u>
- [8]. Mohsen, and M. D. Hassan. "A framework for selection of material handling equipment in manufacturing and logistics facilities." *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management* 21, no. 2 (2010): 246-268. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1108/17410381011014396</u>
- [9]. Fonseca, Daniel J., Gopal Uppal, and Timothy J. Greene. "A knowledge-based system for conveyor equipment selection." *Expert systems with applications* 26, no. 4 (2004): 615-623. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2003.12.011</u>
- [10]. Karande, Prasad, and Shankar Chakraborty. "Material handling equipment selection using weighted utility additive theory." Journal of Industrial Engineering 2013 (2013). DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/268708</u>
- [11]. Nguyen, Huu-Tho, Siti Zawiah Md Dawal, Yusoff Nukman, Achmad P. Rifai, and Hideki Aoyama. "An integrated MCDM model for conveyor equipment evaluation and selection in an FMC based on a fuzzy AHP and fuzzy ARAS in the presence of vagueness." *PloS one* 11, no. 4 (2016): e0153222. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0153222</u>
- [12]. Madhusudhan Dasari sreeramulu, "Optimizing Cloud Computing Networks in Information Security Controls using COPRAS Method", Computer Science, Engineering and Technology 1(2), 2023, 42-54.
- [13]. Sheela, T., Baby Jooju, and S. Senthil Kumar. "Autochthonous Bacterial Community in Remediation of Azo Dyes: A."
- [14]. Verma, Pradeep. "Sales of Medical Devices-SAP Supply Chain." International Journal of Computer Trends and Technology 70, no. 9 (2022): 6-12.
- [15]. 5.Bharani, Neha, and Abhay Kothari. "Tools for analysis of various static software complexities for mat lab code." Turkish Online Journal of Qualitative Inquiry 12, no. 6 (2021).
- [16]. Inaganti Rambabu, Yalavarthy Sreekanth, "FUSING CYBERSECURITY, AI, AND EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES IN MEDICAL DEVICES FOR IMPROVED HEALTHCARE" INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH AND ANALYTICAL REVIEWS, 10(4), 2023, 74-81.
- [17]. Attri, Rajesh, and Sandeep Grover. "Application of preference selection index method for decision making over the design stage of production system life cycle." Journal of King Saud University-Engineering Sciences 27, no. 2 (2015): 207-216. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksues.2013.06.003</u>
- [18]. Kulinowski, Piotr, Piotr Kasza, and Jacek Zarzycki. "Influence of design parameters of idler bearing units on the energy consumption of a belt conveyor." Sustainability 13, no. 1 (2021): 437. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/su13010437</u>
- [19]. GłAdysiewicz, Lech, Witold Kawalec, and Robert Krol. "Selection of carry idlers spacing of belt conveyor taking into account random stream of transported bulk material." *Eksploatacja i Niezawodność* 18, no. 1 (2016): 32-37. DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.17531/ein.2016.1.5.</u>
- [20]. Aydemir, Erdal, and Yusuf Sahin. "Evaluation of healthcare service quality factors using grey relational analysis in a dialysis centre." *Grey Systems: Theory and Application* (2019). DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1108/GS-01-2019-0001</u>
- [21]. Kose, Erkan, Danişment Vural, and Gulcin Canbulut. "The most livable city selection in Turkey with the grey relational analysis." *Grey Systems: Theory and Application* 10, no. 4 (2020): 529-544. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1108/GS-04-2020-0042</u>
- [22]. Wan, Shiuan, and Shih-Hsun Chang. "Crop classification with WorldView-2 imagery using Support Vector Machine comparing texture analysis approaches and grey relational analysis in Jianan Plain, Taiwan." *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 40, no. 21 (2019): 8076-8092. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2018.1539275</u>
- [23]. Chen, Tao, Yejun Zhu, XinXin Xi, Haixiang Huan, and Wenfeng Ding. "Process parameter optimization and surface integrity evolution in the high-speed grinding of TiAl intermetallics based on grey relational analysis method." *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology* 117, no. 9-10 (2021): 2895-2908. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-021-07882-x</u>
- [24]. Krishnamaneni, Mr Ramesh, and A. N. Murthy. "Advancing Drug Dealing Detection Using Neural Embedding and Nearest Neighbour Searching Techniques." International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication 9, no. 7 (2021): 19-23.
- [25]. Madhusudhan Dasari sreeramulu, "Analysis of Wireless Security and Networks using COPRAS Method" REST Journal on Data Analytics and Artificial Intelligence 2(4), December 2023, 32-41.
- [26]. Bharani, Neha. "Software Quality Measurement using Complexity Analysis of Various Software Engineering Phases." 2020.
- [27]. Gerus-Gościewska, Małgorzata, and Dariusz Gościewski. "Grey Relational Analysis (GRA) as an Effective Method of Research into Social Preferences in Urban Space Planning." Land 11, no. 1 (2022): 102. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/land11010102</u>

- [28]. Inaganti Rambabu, Yalavarthy Sreekanth, "Innovative Solutions: AI-Enabled Medical Devices and Digital Twin Technology Shaping Future Healthcare", INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS, 11(12), 2023, e497-e506.
- [29]. Özcan, Sami, and Ali Kemal Çelik. "A comparison of TOPSIS, grey relational analysis and COPRAS methods for machine selection problem in the food industry of Turkey." *International Journal of Production Management and Engineering* 9, no. 2 (2021): 81-92. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.4995/ijpme.2021.14734</u>
- [30]. Esangbedo, Moses Olabhele, Jianwu Xue, Sijun Bai, and Caroline Olufunke Esangbedo. "Relaxed Rank Order Centroid Weighting MCDM Method With Improved Grey Relational Analysis for Subcontractor Selection: Photothermal Power Station Construction." *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management* (2022). DOI: <u>10.1109/TEM.2022.3204629</u>
- [31]. Sujithra. M Yuvaraj, Tanu Tiwari, KrishnaPriya Rakkamuthu, and Sheela Thangaraj, Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology and Life Sciences, Spl issue 5, 2022, 675-680.
- [32]. Logeshwaran, J., Venkata Ashok K. Gorantla, Venkataramaiah Gude, and Bhargavi Gorantla. "The Smart Performance Analysis of Cyber Security Issues in Crypto Currency Using Blockchain." In 2023 6th International Conference on Contemporary Computing and Informatics (IC3I), vol. 6, pp. 2235-2241. IEEE, 2023.
- [33]. Canbulut, Gülçin, Erkan Köse, and Oğuzhan Ahmet Arik. "Public transportation vehicle selection by the grey relational analysis method." *Public Transport* (2021): 1-18. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12469-021-00271-3</u>
- [34]. Touati, Sofiane, Laala Ghelani, Amina Zemmouri, and Haithem Boumediri. "Optimization of gas carburizing treatment parameters of low carbon steel using Taguchi and grey relational analysis (TA-GRA)." *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology* 120, no. 11-12 (2022): 7937-7949. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-022-09302-0</u>
- [35]. Bademlioglu, A. H., A. S. Canbolat, and O. Kaynakli. "Multi-objective optimization of parameters affecting Organic Rankine Cycle performance characteristics with Taguchi-Grey Relational Analysis." *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 117 (2020): 109483. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2019.109483</u>
- [36]. Bhargavi Gorantla, Sreenath Devineni, "Harnessing AI for Optimized Decision-Making: A COPRAS Analysis", REST Journal on Data Analytics and Artificial Intelligence, 2(3), 2023, 70-77.
- [37]. Krishnamaneni, Ramesh, A. N. Murthy, and S. Sen. "A comparative study of big data mining algorithms for early detection of heart attack risk factors in electronic medical records." *International Journal of Computer Engineering and Technology (IJCET)* 10, no. 6 (2019): 139-154.