

Data Analytics and Artificial Intelligence Vol: 1(2), 2021 REST Publisher ISBN: 978-81-948459-4-2 Website: http://restpublisher.com/book-series/data-analytics-and-artificialintelligence

Environmental Impact Assessments and Research on Developments Using Fuzzy TOPSIS Methodology

Badiyani Anand Manahar

SSt College of Arts and Commerce, Maharashtra, India. Email: anandbadiyani@sstcollege.edu.in

Abstract

Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) is, inter alia Related socio-economic, cultural and human- Considering the health implications, the proposed potential environment of the project or development It is a process of assessing impacts. The proposed industrial action in a trans boundary environment, especially, over a shared resource Risk of significant adverse impact Environmental Impact Assessment on Environment. Also, river rule One that affects or may affect the quality of its water Environmental impact of party planning activities If not cause, due diligence and it Implicit awareness and preventive duty shall not be deemed to have been executed. Such Evaluation of the potential effects of the works. Unhesitatingly ambiguous topsis or intuitively ambiguous Related to the studied literature such as Topsis Obscure topsis outlined. Also, this The paper deals with the use of Fuzzy Topsis Provides insights into current trends, Environmental Impact Assessment in Alternatives. Evaluation of parameters in Economic disturbance, Social disturbance, Air pollution, Water pollution, Soil pollution its most common application areas and practical problems solved. Environmental Impact Assessment 5is got the first rank whereas is the Environmental Impact Assessment 4 is having the Lowest rank..

Introduction

Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) is A task or development A great deal of inspiration to assess outcomes is a device used surroundings. EIAs make sure that undertaking choice-makers consider capacity influences at the environment as early as possible and goal to avoid, lessen or catch up on those impacts. Targets of environmental effect assessment Identify are expecting and compare the economic, environmental and social effects of improvement sports. Providing data about environmental consequences in selection making. Climate alternate inclusive of worldwide warming. Acid rain, photochemical smog and other types of pollutants. Ocean acidification. Humans affect the physical surroundings in many methods: overpopulation, pollution, burning of fossil fuels, and deforestation known as a more classical MCDM One of the methods is TOPSIS Originally by Wang and Lee Created, this method basic concept is selective alternatives are positive and a negative ideal from solution A short distance from the solution Must have long range too. The TOPSIS technique is to solve general decision-making problems is used This Technique for all alternatives in the problem A comparison between based on.

Environmental Impact Assessment

Environmental effect evaluation is a selection-making device of some proposed Capacity environmental effects Identify Also used to compare development sports. Environmental impact evaluation, considering that its inception inside the 2d Half of the 20th century, a domain-precise Impact assessment, adequacy of alternatives Non-consideration and ambiguous method of impact assessment was heavily criticized for However, in assessment, lifestyles Cycle Evaluation Assess environmental impacts throughout is an analytical tool. The purpose of this have a look at Balance cycle assessment is the environment in a business task How to complete the outcome evaluation process Prove that it can. This paper is Balance Cycle Assessment and Environmental Impact Assessment Framework Provides; it is an insurance products Illustrated through a case study of plant Realistic software. [1]. The environmental impact evaluation method, determining a way to address the ones gaps, after which constructing Institute, researchers and to authorities facilitating partnerships between research procedures. The look at gathered statistics on ongoing or finished tracking sports at wave electricity websites across Europe in 2011. Those monitoring packages and differences between EIAs and the generalities are now correct them. Capacity concerns Coping, calculated risk-based to state the complete method, wave electricity and to sell the progress of the quarter for raw use of research activities Recommendations are made. Findings Applies to global MRE trends [2]. Environmental impact evaluation (EIA) has grown to be in the last forty years of Environmental Management consistent with the developing reputation of the nature, quantity and impacts of environmental alternate as a result of human activities. During that time, EIA has advanced and changed, inspired by means of the converting needs of decision-makers and the revel in of the selection-making procedure and exercise (Morgan 1998). At a time while it's miles more vital than ever to take a look at decisions that have substantial implications for human beings and societies [3]. Environmental impact evaluation studies and TGP's revel in and challenge design, evaluation and management of massive dams and coverage implications of large infrastructure tasks in China and different elements of the arena [4]. Environmental impact assessment policies require team contributors to behaviour website online visits to verify statistics provided in EIA reports, that's not often or by no means accomplished. As a result, cases of EIAs containing incorrect information and text and information copied and pasted from EIA reports for absolutely unique tasks had been pronounced [5]. Environmental Impact Assessments (EIA) Able to operate in environment. EIA is a properly installed instrument or many are even legally required contexts. However, on the at the same time, go ahead with the challenge or not rather than determining whether, in the neighborhood Capacity implications of the proposed work Assessment and action Formulation and implementation are also responsible for EIA. Mitigate the ones affects [6].Environmental Impact Assessment of 2003 and Audit norms and Environmental Impact Assessment Guidelines and administrative procedures had been developed EIA and SEA Regulation of and automation approaches [7]. Environmental Impact Assessment for Karst Areas strategy. This is Camp Bullies military training Installation, Karstic in TX, USA Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone Experiment Supported with effects. Variations are Biological and other aids and through this approach Assess Problems Environmental aspects and regulation Identifies areas where orders need to be executed frequently in observation are karst May help manage areas better However; regionally correct Management should be completed [8]. Environmental Impact Assessment The proposed commercial pastime is possibly to have a substantial unfavorable impact on the transboundary environment, especially on a shared useful resource. Furthermore, due diligence and the implied responsibility of attention and prevention will no longer be deemed too had been exercised if a party's making plans activities that affect the river's regime or have an effect on its water high-quality do no longer cause environmental harm. Evaluation [9]. Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) is for analysis rather often event and will be descriptive. Also for evaluating EIA Evaluation techniques to evaluate Project strategies and documentation are implicit So for random and baseless judgments Gives considerable space. These shortcomings Ten Canadian EIA to partially address Systematic and A transparent study is carried out.[10]. During an environmental effect assessment for a unique task, one should first observe which sub-environments are without a doubt within the scope of the discharge and what the distances are. For this, the existing mechanisms need to be considered. Also, it need to be investigated whether subsystems aside from the ones in sensitivity index Consider what is included should take Next the dimensions, specificity and significance of the sub-ecosystems to Explore the marine environment want It is E. G. should be considered be given to Species richness and specialty are endangered Look after the creatures Overall, motivation-effect Relationships are absolutely subtle mission [11].Environmental Impact Assessment in Colombia These are the guidelines earlier in the article, Columbia Importance of reading We discussed about hints when it comes to their cutting-edge boundaries for treating and handling uncertainty. This section discusses factors that are much less cited or unnoticed within the hints compared to the strategies reviewed, and before this, we provide statistics at the historical past of environmental effect assessments [12]. Journal of Environmental Impact Assessment, i.E., quantity of single use courses, multi-united states publications and published articles in keeping with U. S. A international map was created to demonstrate the cooperation sample and to illustrate the countries inquisitive about the improvement of a worldwide approach to low productiveness, high productiveness centres and effect exams, and the collaboration installed for multi-united states publications and eBook frequencies. Country-smart files [13]. Environmental impact assessments1 had been extensively adopted in growing international locations for the past 20 years. however, EIAs are now their average have no impact and Implementing Procedures Evidence suggests that is weakthey investigated proof of the connection between poverty indicators [14]. An environmental effect assessment (eia) An attempt or improvement in the environment Massive effects of inspiration a used to estimate is a device. Decision makers Recalling potential implications surroundings as soon as viable and intention to avoid, lessen or compensate for the ones influences. Economic disturbances and valuation conflicts. Discrepancies rise up within the valuation of income-producing houses. Differences in expectancies about future income and. Risks related to anticipated returns. Vocabulary. Social and psychological alienation related to the transformation or breakdown of social life in small rural communities that may end result from speedy monetary and demographic alternate with rapid business and herbal aid improvement. Air pollution is the infection of the indoor or out of doors environment ecosystem. Common assets of air pollution are family combustion home equipment, motor automobiles, commercial facilities, and woodland fires. Water pollution means water for human use and endangers aquatic ecosystems It is the elimination of harmful substances from our body Toxic wastes, petroleum and pollution Water pollution due to the spread of contaminants along with microorganisms may occur. Soil pollution is in the soil Toxic chemical compounds (pollutants or contaminants) Being defined as, human health and/or risk at concentrations high enough to result the surroundings.

Fuzzy TOPSIS

TOPSIS is widely used Fuzzy TOPSIS is a decision-making method or reliable of group dimming TOPSIS In-depth studies, comparisons and We still believe that more is needed. Standardization technique to reap this purpose [15]. Fuzzy TOPSIS technique Manufactured by KAU Projects are divided 4 classes specifically instructional homes, personnel quarters, KAU campus streets and roads and all infrastructure projects. Scope of Control It is the completion of all production tasks with minimum value and high penalty correct time. Alternatively, KAU will create Projects are more uncertain and with budgetary constraints are started dynamically.[16]. Fuzzy TOPSIS techniques with MCDM. To determine the preferred weighting of the assessment is used and then, to the real ones alternate between to improve the intervals Research follows Fuzzy TOPSIS performance values and the preferred tiers in every measurement and criterion and to locate the great options to obtain the preferred/suitable tiers based totally at the 4 proposed entities. This research hopes to offer some strategic tips for Taiwan industries and authorities [17].Fuzzy TOPSIS Techniques for facility location are proposed choice, critiques of

numerous opportunity places below numerous subjective criteria and of all standards Weights are also fuzzy numbers represented [18]. Fuzzy TOPSIS outcomes are furnished with the aid of unique distance metrics. A comparative analysis of c programming language-valued fuzzy TOPSIS scores from every distance scale is illustrated with discussions of consistency ratios [19]. Fuzzy TOPSIS technique, target Change of criteria through the application is accomplished of Hsu and Chen approach, which guarantees Compatibility between concepts Every chance and every Each scale is weighted Membership aspect of moderator generated the usage of fuzzy numbers Interval Arithmetic. To do keep away from the Complexity of Random Fuzzy Numbers Aggregation, this is weighted Ratings are simple values are destroyed rank approach of the common of eliminations [20]. Fuzzy TOPSIS strategies aren't efficient sufficient, due to the fact "fuzzy high qualitysatisfactory solution" and "vaguely negative-at best Answer", fuzzy ranking Techniques are used However no person can supply a fuzzy ranking. Numbers satisfactorily in all instances and conditions. Additionally, calculating the space from the pleasant answer and the poor-first-rate answer is difficult. To remedy those issues in making an ambiguous choice A new ambiguity Topsis approach proposed [21]. The proposed method is by decision maker's marks and Weightage to be allotted to be averaged and comparable are normalized every normal A club activity with weights estimate of each opportunity region for every criterion is certainly constructed. In order net values They are changed, every chance they get for large and negative-positive responses of proximity Helps to calculate distance [22]. Many fuzzy TOPSIS methods and programs were advanced in latest years. First used fuzzy numbers to establish fuzzy topsis. Created a fuzzy Topsis approach of every opportunity in this relative intimacy is absolute Predicted based on ambiguity mathematics features. Proposed Primarily a fuzzy MCDM Idealism and Resistance based onideality standards. Technique for unclear GDM conditions A similarly stepped forward set of rules became proposed extending Alpha degrees with spacing based on units Fuzzy TOPSIS approach mathematics [23]. Among many popular MCDM techniques, Subjective and objective Fuzzy with weights Technique for performance, ranking and to select a pair A realistic and A powerful approach, potential options by means of measuring Euclidean distances. TOPSIS become in the beginning developed [24]. Fuzzy TOPSIS implementations Application areas are categorised to differentiate areas of not unusual interest along with supply chain control, environmentally pleasant solutions, power profession or business However, ambiguous topsis has been implemented in a completely huge range of regions, applied in a restrained industry, along with in health care such as weapon selection or surest remedy selection [25]. Fuzzy TOPSIS is multivariate in order to select properties has been introduced troubles. Fuzzy TOPSIS became used for plant place selection and TOPSIS for dealer choice. Used fuzzy TOPSIS for business robotic machine choice [26].

Analysis and Discussion

	Economic disturbance	Social disturbance	Air pollution	Water pollution
Environmental Impact Assessment 1	34.56	155.63	32.63	22.05
Environmental Impact Assessment 2	33.48	175.15	45.63	27.30
Environmental Impact Assessment 3	25.63	185.62	32.16	23.10
Environmental Impact Assessment 4	38.46	125.29	55.54	25.15
Environmental Impact Assessment 5	40.63	186.35	30.16	20.89

Table 1 show the Environmental Impact Assessment for Analysis using the TOPSIS Method. Economic disturbance, Social disturbance, Air pollution, Water pollution. Environmental Impact Assessment 1, Environmental Impact Assessment 2, Environmental Impact Assessment 3, Environmental Impact Assessment 4, Environmental Impact Assessment 5.



Figure 1. Environmental Impact Assessment

Figure 1 shows the Environmental Impact Assessment for Analysis using the TOPSIS Method. Economic disturbance, Social disturbance, Air pollution, Water pollution. Environmental Impact Assessment 1, Environmental Impact Assessment 2, Environmental Impact Assessment 3, Environmental Impact Assessment 4, Environmental Impact Assessment 5it is seen that Environmental Impact Assessment 5is shows the Highest Value for Economic disturbance and Environmental Impact Assessment 3is showing the Lower value. Environmental Impact Assessment 5is shows the Highest Value for Social disturbance and Environmental Impact Assessment 4is showing the lowest value. Environmental Impact Assessment 4is shows the Highest Value for Air pollution and Environmental Impact Assessment 3is showing the lowest value. Environmental Impact Assessment 2is shows the Highest Value for Water pollution and Environmental Impact Assessment 5is showing the lowest value.

TABLE 2. Square and Root of Value.							
1194.3936	24220.6969	1064.7169	486.2025				
1120.9104	30677.5225	2082.0969	745.2900				
656.8969	34454.7844	1034.2656	533.6100				
1479.1716	15697.5841	3084.6916	632.5225				
1650.7969	34726.3225	909.6256	436.3921				

TABLE 2. Square and Root of Value

Table 2 shows the Square and Root of Value Environmental Impact Assessment for Analysis using the TOPSIS Method. Economic disturbance, Social disturbance, Air pollution, Water pollution. Environmental Impact Assessment 1, Environmental Impact Assessment 2, Environmental Impact Assessment 3, Environmental Impact Assessment 4, Environmental Impact Assessment 5SORT Value.

TABLE 3. Normalized Data							
Economic disturbance	Social disturbance	Air pollutio n	Water pollution				
0.4424	1.9923	0.3609	0.4142				
0.4286	2.2422	0.5047	0.5128				
0.3281	2.3762	0.3557	0.4339				
0.4923	1.6039	0.6143	0.4724				
0.5201	2.3855	0.3336	0.3924				

Table 3shows the Normalized Data Environmental Impact Assessment for Analysis using the TOPSIS Method. Economic disturbance, Social disturbance, Air pollution, Water pollution. Environmental Impact Assessment 1, Environmental Impact Assessment 2, Environmental Impact Assessment 3, Environmental Impact Assessment 4, Environmental Impact Assessment 5is the Normalized Value.





Figure 2 shows the Normalized Data Environmental Impact Assessment for Analysis using the TOPSIS Method. Economic disturbance, Social disturbance, Air pollution, Water pollution. Environmental Impact Assessment 1, Environmental Impact Assessment 2, Environmental Impact Assessment 3, Environmental Impact Assessment 4, Environmental Impact Assessment 5 is the Normalized Value

l	m	u
0.1	0.3	0.5
0.3	0.5	0.7
0.1	0.3	0.5
0.5	0.7	0.9
0.3	0.5	0.7
0.7	0.9	1
0.9	1	1
	0.1 0.3 0.1 0.5 0.3 0.7 0.9	I m 0.1 0.3 0.3 0.5 0.1 0.3 0.5 0.7 0.3 0.5 0.7 0.9 0.9 1

 TABLE 4. Calculate the fuzzy lingustic scale & Significance Value.

Table 4 shows the Calculate the fuzzy lingustic scale & Significance Value Economic disturbance, Social disturbance, Air pollution, Water pollution. Environmental Impact Assessment 1, Environmental Impact Assessment 2, Environmental Impact Assessment 3, Environmental Impact Assessment 4, Environmental Impact Assessment 5it is seen that Environmental Impact Assessment 5.

IABLE 5. Criteria ingustic scale using common value.						
	DM1	DM2	DM3			
	Onwer	Capten	Coach			
Economic disturbance	EH	VL	М			
Social disturbance	L	EH	VH			
Air pollution	L	М	VH			
Water pollution	L	М	VL			

TABLE 5 Criteria linguistic scale using common value

Table 5 shows the Criteria lingustic scale using common value of Environmental Impact Assessment and Economic disturbance, Social disturbance, Air pollution, Water pollution.

TABLE 0. Convert the migdsite fating of decision makers into quantative value.									
	DM1			DM2			DM3		
Economic									
disturbance	0.9	1	1	0.3	0.5	0.7	0.5	0.7	0.9
Social disturbance	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.9	1	1	0.7	0.9	1
Air pollution	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.9	0.7	0.9	1
Water pollution	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.9	0.3	0.5	0.7

TAPLE 6 Convert the linguistic rating of decision makers into quantative value

Table 6 shows the Convert the lingustic rating of decision makers into quantities value of Environmental Impact Assessment and Economic disturbance, Social disturbance, Air pollution, Water pollution.

TABLE 7. Calculate Ag	gregateu ru	izzy weight	value
	L-FW	M-FW	U-FW
Economic			
disturbance	0.57	0.73	0.87
Social disturbance	0.57	0.73	0.83
Air pollution	0.43	0.63	0.80
Water pollution	0.30	0.50	0.70

TABLE 7. Calculate Aggregated Fuzzy Weight Value

Table 7 shows the Calculate Aggregated Fuzzy Weight Value of Environmental Impact Assessment and Economic disturbance, Social disturbance, Air pollution, Water pollution.

Econo	omic distur	bance	Soc	ial disturba	nce	A	ir pollution	1	Water pollution		
0.2507	0.3244	0.3834	1.1289	1.4610	1.6602	0.1563	0.2285	0.2887	0.1242	0.2070	0.2899
03	39	28	61	08	36	81	57	04	59	99	38
0.2428		0.3714	1.2705	1.6442	1.8684	0.2186	0.3196	0.4037	0.1538	0.2564	0.3589
68	0.3143	46	61	56	73	85	16	25	45	08	71
0.1859	0.2406	0.2843	1.3465	1.7425	1.9801	0.1541	0.2252	0.2845	0.1301	0.2169	0.3037
23	07	53	12	45	65	29	65	45	76	61	45
0.2789	0.3610	0.4266	0.9088	1.1761	1.3365	0.2661	0.3890	0.4914	0.1417	0.2362	
94	51	97	7	85	74	79	31	07	29	15	0.3307
0.2947	0.3814	0.4507	1.3518	1.7493	1.9879	0.1445	0.2112	0.2668	0.1177	0.1962	0.2746
35	22	72	08	98	53	44	56	5	22	04	85

TABLE 8. Weighted normalized decision matrix

Table 8 shows the weighted normalized decision matrix Economic disturbance, Social disturbance, Air pollution, Water pollution. Environmental Impact Assessment 1, Environmental Impact Assessment 2, Environmental Impact Assessment 3, Environmental Impact Assessment 4, Environmental Impact Assessment 5 is the Weighted normalized decision matrix of Value.

				1.101	u <i>y</i> u u i , u	mannan	ii ana min	iiiiaiii vaie				
Α	0.2947	0.3814	0.4507	1.3518	1.7493	1.9879	0.1445	0.2112	0.2668	0.1177	0.1962	0.2746
+	35	22	72	08	98	53	44	56	5	22	04	85
Α	0.1859	0.2406	0.2843	0.9088	1.1761	1.3365	0.2661	0.3890	0.4914	0.1538	0.2564	0.3589
-	23	07	53	7	85	74	79	31	07	45	08	71

TABLE 9.A+, A- Maximum and Minimum value

Table 9 shows the A+, A- Maximum and Minimum Value Economic disturbance, Social disturbance, Air pollution, Water pollution. Environmental Impact Assessment 1, Environmental Impact Assessment 2, Environmental Impact Assessment 3, Environmental Impact Assessment 4, Environmental Impact Assessment 5.

TABLE 10. Fuzzy positive ideal solution									
Environmental Impact Assessment 1	0.056924	0.282977	0.017484	0.011461					
Environmental Impact Assessment 2	0.067053	0.103169	0.109504	0.063334					
Environmental Impact Assessment 3	0.14067	0.006724	0.014157	0.021836					
Environmental Impact Assessment 4	0.02035	0.562453	0.179652	0.042091					
Environmental Impact Assessment 5	0	0	0	0					

Table 10 shows the Fuzzy positive ideal solution Economic disturbance, Social disturbance, Air pollution, Water pollution. Environmental Impact Assessment 1, Environmental Impact Assessment 2, Environmental Impact Assessment 3, Environmental Impact Assessment 4, Environmental Impact Assessment 5.



FIGURE 3.Fuzzy positive ideal solution

Figure 3 shows the Fuzzy positive ideal solution Economic disturbance, Social disturbance, Air pollution, Water pollution. Environmental Impact Assessment 1, Environmental Impact Assessment 2, Environmental Impact Assessment 3, Environmental Impact Assessment 4, Environmental Impact Assessment 5.

TABLE II. Fuzzy Negative Ideal solution								
Environmental Impact Assessment 1	0.083746	0.279476	0.162168	0.051872				
Environmental Impact Assessment 2	0.073617	0.459285	0.070148	0				
Environmental Impact Assessment 3	0	0.555729	0.165495	0.041498				
Environmental Impact Assessment 4	0.12032	0	0	0.021243				
Environmental Impact Assessment 5	0.14067	0.562453	0.179652	0.063334				

• •

Table 11 shows the Fuzzy Negative ideal solution Economic disturbance, Social disturbance, Air pollution, Water pollution. Environmental Impact Assessment 1, Environmental Impact Assessment 2, Environmental Impact Assessment 3, Environmental Impact Assessment 4, Environmental Impact Assessment 5.



Figure 4 shows the Fuzzy Negative ideal solution Economic disturbance, Social disturbance, Air pollution, Water pollution. Environmental Impact Assessment 1, Environmental Impact Assessment 2, Environmental Impact Assessment 3, Environmental Impact Assessment 4, Environmental Impact Assessment 5.

	Si+	Si-	Cci	Rank
Environmental Impact Assessment 1	0.368846	0.5772628	0.610144	4
Environmental Impact Assessment 2	0.343059	0.6030498	0.6374	3
Environmental Impact Assessment 3	0.183387	0.7627221	0.806167	2
Environmental Impact Assessment 4	0.804547	0.1415627	0.149626	5
Environmental Impact Assessment 5	0	0.9461093	1	1

TABLE 12. Si positive. Si negative. CCi Closeness coefficient & Final Result

Table 12 shows the Si positive, Si negative, CCi Closeness coefficient & Final Result of Environmental Impact Assessment Economic disturbance, Social disturbance, Air pollution, Water pollution. Environmental Impact Assessment 1, Environmental Impact Assessment 2, Environmental Impact Assessment 3, Environmental Impact Assessment 4, Environmental Impact Assessment 5.



FIGURE 5. Si positive, Si negative, CCi Closeness coefficient & Final Result

Figure 5 shows the Si positive, Si negative, CCi Closeness coefficient & Final Results positive, Environmental Impact Assessment4 is having is Higher Value and Environmental Impact Assessment 5 is having Lower value. In Si Negative, Environmental Impact Assessment 5 is having is Higher Value Environmental Impact Assessment 4 is having Lower value. Ciis calculated using the formula (5). In Ci, Environmental Impact Assessment 5 is having is Higher Value and Environmental Impact Assessment 5 is having is Higher Value.



FIGURE 6. Shown the Rank

Figure 6 Shows the Ranking of Environmental Impact Assessment of Final Result in Environmental Impact Assessment 5 is got the first rank whereas is the Environmental Impact Assessment 4 is having the lowest rank.

Conclusion

Environmental impact assessment is a selection-making device used to pick out and evaluate the capacity environmental outcomes of positive proposed improvement activities. Environmental impact evaluation, because its inception within the 2nd half of the 20 the century, has been heavily criticized for assessing best a site-specific effect, insufficient attention of options, and a vague technique of effect evaluation. Since TOPSIS is widely Decision making used Instrumental method, Fuzzy TOPSIS or Group Fuzzy TOPSIS a reliable model is very much to reap this goal a rigorous research, comparisons and benchmarking system we still agree that wants.KAU Construction projects into four are separated classes particularly instructional buildings, personnel quarters, Streets of KAU campus and roads and all infrastructure tasks. topsis or intuitively ambiguous Related to the studied literature such as Topsis Obscure topsis outlined. Also, this the paper deals with the use of Fuzzy Topsis Provides insights into current trends, Environmental Impact Assessment in Alternatives. Evaluation of parameters in Economic disturbance, Social disturbance, Air pollution, Water pollution, Soil pollution its most common application areas and practical problems solved. Environmental Impact Assessment 5is got the first rank whereas is the Environmental Impact Assessment 4 is having the lowest rank.

Reference

- 1. Židonienė, Sigita, and JolitaKruopienė. "Life Cycle Assessment in environmental impact assessments of industrial projects: towards the improvement." Journal of Cleaner Production 106 (2015): 533-540.
- Leeney, Ruth H., Deborah Greaves, Daniel Conley, and Anne Marie O'Hagan. "Environmental Impact Assessments for wave energy developments-Learning from existing activities and informing future research priorities." Ocean & Coastal Management 99 (2014): 14-22.
- 3. Morgan, Richard K. "Environmental impact assessment: the state of the art." Impact assessment and project appraisal 30, no. 1 (2012): 5-14.
- Xu, Xibao, Yan Tan, and Guishan Yang. "Environmental impact assessments of the Three Gorges Project in China: Issues and interventions." Earth-Science Reviews 124 (2013): 115-125.
- 5. Williams, Aled, and Kendra Dupuy. "Deciding over nature: Corruption and environmental impact assessments." Environmental Impact Assessment Review 65 (2017): 118-124.
- 6. Agrawala, Shardul, ArnoldoMatus Kramer, Guillaume Prudent-Richard, and Marcus Sainsbury. "Incorporating climate change impacts and adaptation in Environmental Impact Assessments: Opportunities and Challenges." (2011).
- Okello, Nick, Lindsay Beevers, WimDouven, and Jan Leentvaar. "The doing and un-doing of public participation during environmental impact assessments in Kenya." Impact assessment and project appraisal 27, no. 3 (2009): 217-226.

- 8. Veni, George. "A geomorphological strategy for conducting environmental impact assessments in karst areas." Geomorphology 31, no. 1-4 (1999): 151-180.
- 9. Boyle, Alan. "Developments in the international law of environmental impact assessments and their relation to the Espoo Convention." Review of European Community & International Environmental Law 20, no. 3 (2011): 227-231.
- 10. Lawrence, David P. "Quality and effectiveness of environmental impact assessments: lessons and insights from ten assessments in Canada." Project appraisal 12, no. 4 (1997): 219-232.
- 11. Hoepner, Thomas. "A procedure for environmental impact assessments (EIA) for seawater desalination plants." Desalination 124, no. 1-3 (1999): 1-12.
- 12. Saravanan, Vimala, M. Ramachandran, T. Vennila, and G. Mathivanan. "A Study on Multi-Objective Optimization on the basis of Ratio Analysis." Recent trends in Management and Commerce 2, no. 3 (2021): 16-22.
- 13. Cardenas, Ibsen C., and Johannes IM Halman. "Coping with uncertainty in environmental impact assessments: Open techniques." Environmental Impact Assessment Review 60 (2016): 24-39.
- Nita, Andreea. "Empowering impact assessments knowledge and international research collaboration-A bibliometric analysis of Environmental Impact Assessment Review journal." Environmental Impact Assessment Review 78 (2019): 106283.
- 15. McCullough, Aoife. "Environmental Impact Assessments in developing countries: We need to talk about politics." The Extractive Industries and Society 4, no. 3 (2017): 448-452.
- Salih, Mahmood M., B. B. Zaidan, A. A. Zaidan, and Mohamed A. Ahmed. "Survey on fuzzy TOPSIS state-of-the-art between 2007 and 2017." Computers & Operations Research 104 (2019): 207-227.
- 17. Krishna Kumar TP, M. Ramachandran, Sathiyaraj Chinnasamy, "Investigation of Public Transportation System Using MOORA Method", REST Journal on Emerging trends in Modelling and Manufacturing, 6(4), (2020): 124-129
- Taylan, Osman, Abdallah O. Bafail, Reda MS Abdulaal, and Mohammed R. Kabli. "Construction projects selection and risk assessment by fuzzy AHP and fuzzy TOPSIS methodologies." Applied Soft Computing 17 (2014): 105-116.
- 19. Sun, Chia-Chi. "A performance evaluation model by integrating fuzzy AHP and fuzzy TOPSIS methods." Expert systems with applications 37, no. 12 (2010): 7745-7754.
- 20. Ertuğrul, İrfan, and Nilsen Karakaşoğlu. "Comparison of fuzzy AHP and fuzzy TOPSIS methods for facility location selection." The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology 39, no. 7 (2008): 783-795.
- 21. Chen, Ting-Yu, and Chueh-Yung Tsao. "The interval-valued fuzzy TOPSIS method and experimental analysis." Fuzzy sets and systems 159, no. 11 (2008): 1410-1428.
- 22. Chu, T-C., and Y-C. Lin. "A fuzzy TOPSIS method for robot selection." The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology 21, no. 4 (2003): 284-290.
- 23. Yong, Deng. "Plant location selection based on fuzzy TOPSIS." The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology 28, no. 7 (2006): 839-844.
- 24. Chu, T-C. "Selecting plant location via a fuzzy TOPSIS approach." The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology 20, no. 11 (2002): 859-864.
- Krishna Kumar, T. P., M. Ramachandran, and Sathiyaraj Chinnasamy. "Exploring Various Applications of Block Chain Technology." Recent trends in Management and Commerce 1, no. 1 (2020): 92-96.
- Singh, Ritesh Kumar, and LyesBenyoucef. "A fuzzy TOPSIS based approach for e-sourcing." Engineering Applications
 of Artificial Intelligence 24, no. 3 (2011): 437-448.
- 27. Wang, Tien-Chin, and Hsien-Da Lee. "Developing a fuzzy TOPSIS approach based on subjective weights and objective weights." Expert systems with applications 36, no. 5 (2009): 8980-8985.
- Palczewski, Krzysztof, and WojciechSałabun. "The fuzzy TOPSIS applications in the last decade." Procedia Computer Science 159 (2019): 2294-2303.
- 29. Kutlu, Ahmet Can, and Mehmet Ekmekçioğlu. "Fuzzy failure modes and effects analysis by using fuzzy TOPSIS-based fuzzy AHP." Expert systems with applications 39, no. 1 (2012): 61-67.