



REST Journal on Emerging trends in Modelling and Manufacturing

Vol: 5(1), 2019

REST Publisher

ISSN: 2455-4537

Website: www.restpublisher.com/journals/jemm

Investment casting process parameter selection by Weighted Sum Method

¹Debashish Sinha, ² M. Ramachandran,

^{1,2}MPSTME, SVKM'S NMIMS, Shirpur, Dhule, Maharashtra, India.

debashish1999@gmail.com

Abstract

Investment casting a known mechanical process relied on lost wax casting, the most known metal-framing strategies. The expression "lost-wax casting" can likewise allude to present day investment casting processes. The Investment casting have been used in different structures throughout previous 5,000 years. This was trailed by hundreds of years of utilization of gems and artistic items previously the approach of the Second World War used for the advancement of aviation and hence engineering segments. In this paper we have tried to optimise the parameters of investment casting using weight sum model method using input parameters as injection time, injection pressure and injection temperature. In order to optimise surface finish and shrinkage in process of mould making as it is crucial part of the whole manufacturing process to get perfect cast.

Introduction

[1] The investment casting process includes creating engineering castings utilizing a nonessential pattern. The standards are followed back to the era of 5000 BC where Early Men employed the process to create fewer complex devices. This was trailed by hundreds of years of utilization of gems and artistic items previously the approach of the Second World War viewed the advancement of aviation and hence engineering segments. The term investment casting gets from the characteristic utilization of versatile ceramic slurry, or 'investments', to shape a form with an incredibly smooth surface. These are copied from exact patterns and transmitted thus to the casting. Investment casting enables dimensionally exact segments to be created and is a less expensive option than manufacturing or machining as waste material is kept to a least. [2] Investment casting is been far utilized for production of weapons, gems and art castings amid the old human progress. Nowadays, its applications incorporate adornments/art castings, turbine blades and a lot of increasingly modern/scientific parts. Way more advanced waxes, specialist alloys and refractory materials are currently in demand for producing patterns. Investment casting valued for producing components with versatility, repeatability, accuracy and integrity as for high-performance alloys and variety of metals. The fragile wax patterns should forth hold forces encounter's while the mould production. Most of the wax used in investment casting could be reused and reclaimed. [3] Investment casting is one of the sensible answers for magnesium composites that experience the ill effects of problems in framing in the strong state due to the hexagonal lattice structure of magnesium. It permits close net shape and the level of opportunity in configuration is bigger rather than such pressurized casting forms, as die casting and semisolid framing. The investment casting process is likewise fit for delivering castings of more tightly resilience and dainty divider areas. In any case, the high reactivity of liquid magnesium needs specific liquefying and casting forms just as specific trim materials. [4] Investment casting process, otherwise called lost-wax process, is used when intricate detail, undermines or nonmachinable highlights and precise parts are wanted. It starts with a wax pattern which is an accurate copy of the as-cast part. In its most punctual structures, beeswax was utilized to shape patterns fundamental for the casting process. Lost-froth casting is a cutting-edge type of investment casting that wipes out specific strides in the process. Investment casting gets its name from the pattern being contributed (encompassed) with a hard-headed material. Numerous materials are appropriate for investment casting models are treated metal, aluminium, steel combinations, glass and carbon steel. The principle contrasts are the price of casting and surface unpleasantness. Water glass method dewaxes into the high-temp. Water, and the ceramic shape is made of water glass quartz sand. Silica sol method dewaxes into the flash flame and silica sol zircon sand makes the ceramic shape. Silica sol method costs more however has the preferable surface over water glass method. The process can be utilized for both little castings of a couple of ounces and huge castings gauging a few hundred pounds. It very well may be costlier than sand casting or die casting, however individual unit costs decline with expansive volumes. It can likewise create items with outstanding surface characteristics and little resistances with negligible machining required or surface finishing. The investment casting process offers numerous advantages including cost funds, structure opportunity, close resilience, better finishes, reserve funds in machining time, reproducibility, and get together funds. Like low starting tooling costs: Initial tooling costs arrived at the midpoint of over the parts delivered are

frequently not exactly other manufacturing tooling costs. End of material waste: Investment castings are basically cast to measure, hence diminishing the measure of machining required. Plan adaptability and ability: Infinite choice of combinations and structure convenient for interior and outer designs are viewed as an or more. Plan upgrades: There are no draft prerequisites in the investment casting process. Consistency: This process gives a solid and reliable item request to arrange. Close Tolerances: Consistently delivering close resistances over different processes, is viewed as leverage. Surface Finish Improvement: A surface finish of around 125 RMS is basic for an investment casting. On the off chance that you need a solitary piece segment to diminish gathering and reassembly time, on the off chance that you need to kill the cost of fabrication and lessen the utilization of welding, or in the event that you wish to utilize a casting to decrease machining time, an investment casting would be an astute choice influencing your primary concern benefits. We have to advance the parameters influencing investment casting process as they all influence the process so as to lessen manufacturing process exactness and quality. [5] Investment casting, otherwise called "The Lost Wax Process", its precise casting production process that initiated with the arrangement of a nonessential wax pattern. As a rule, these wax patterns are delivered with a previous manufactured metal form. Fast casting dependent on the stereo lithography model sap patterns is another investment casting process grew as of late to give a superior precision and keep away from the improvement of the metal shape. From the stereo lithography based quick prototyping (RP) is a layered assembling innovation equipped for delivering models totally from a computer-aided design model, it offers critical focal points regarding the difficulty of the pattern unpredictability just as time and cost decrease contrasted and conventional investment casting. In any case, the accomplishment of an effective and solid stereo lithography based fast casting process ascends to numerous issues. One of the pressing troubles to stay away from the split of the clay shell while burnout of the stereo lithography pattern. Typically, the stereo lithography epoxy pitch is a thermally set material which doesn't liquefy however wears out around 1,200°C with adequate oxygen (1995, Dickens and Hague). In addition, the coefficient of warm development (CTE) of the stereo lithography epoxy tar is around multiple times more than that of the clay shell, a noteworthy warm extension of the pitch pattern will shockingly break the artistic shell and bomb the quick casting while the warming. Some earlier investigations are made to discover the needs and answers for the shell break issue.

Along with others, (1995) Jacobs guessed that thickness of the sap pattern shell is slenderer than an alleged critical segment thickness, the earthenware shell split can be forestalled. A proportional forecast technique was additionally introduced to compute the critical area thickness. Dickens and Hague (1995-96) directed a progression of examinations on warming trials with strong and emptied stereo lithography patterns. It was seen that the shell breaking consistently occurred at the temp. Underneath the glass progress temp. Of epoxy sap. [6] Investment casting, otherwise called as lost-wax process, which is a precision casting process that starts with the development of a nonessential wax pattern. For the most part, the wax patterns are delivered with an already manufactured metal form. Fast casting dependent on the stereo lithography (SL) model gum patterns is another investment casting process grew as of late to give a superior precision and keep away from the advancement of the metal shape. As the stereo lithography (SL) derived fast modelling (RP) is a layered assembling innovation equipped for delivering models totally from a computer-aided design model, it offers noteworthy preferences regarding the difficulty of the pattern multifaceted nature just as time and cost decrease contrasted and the conventional investment casting. In any case, the accomplishment of a productive and dependable SL based quick casting process ascends to numerous issues. Key troubles are to keep away from the split of the artistic shell while b the burnout of the stereo lithography pattern. Regularly, the stereo lithography epoxy sap is a thermally set material which doesn't liquefy however wears out around 1,200°C with adequate oxygen (1995; Dickens and Hague). In addition, as the coefficient of warm development (CTE) of the stereo lithography epoxy pitch is around multiple times higher than that of the artistic shell, a noteworthy warm extension of the tar patterning will tragically break the fired shell and bomb the quick casting during the warming. Some earlier investigations have been made to find the reasoning's and answers for the shell split issue (Dickens, Jacobs, Hague and Blake et al; 1995, 1996; 1995, 1994). Among others, Jacobs (1995) estimated that when the thickness of sap pattern shell is slenderer than a purported critical area thickness, the fired shell split can be forestalled. An equal forecast technique was likewise introduced to figure the critical area thickness. Dickens and Hague (1995-96) led a progression of examinations on the warming trials with strong and emptied stereo lithography patterns. It was seen that the shell splitting consistently occurred at the temp. Beneath the glass progress temp. of epoxy pitch. [7] The process of investment casting is, right off the bat making wax model and wax gating framework separately. At that point amass them together. In the wake of dunking the get together into ceramic and dewaxing, the wax pattern is supplanted by the metal. Finally, extra machine and warming treatment might be required rely upon the condition. [8] Presently multi investment casting keen is expanding because of the capacity of delivering close net-shaped aftereffect of incredible surface finish, dimensional precision, and complex shapes. Estimated 40% of overall investment casting creation. The means which are utilized in the investment casting are (a.) burnout and preheating (b.) expulsion (c.) generating wax pattern (d.) amass wax pattern (e.) pouring (f.) investment (g.) creation of ace pattern (h.) dewaxing (I.) shape making. The fundamental piece of investment casting is the wax pattern. Since the nature of the last provided reason to feel ambiguous about item is depending the wax pattern. It is

created by pouring the liquid wax into permanent form of want size and size. [9] One noteworthy issue experienced in the craft of investment casting process is inappropriately shaped last parts.

At the point when the warmed wax mix was brought in the metallic die-cast and hence permitted to cool, warm compression happens and the solidifying wax design contracts in from inside of the die sides. The shrinking makes wax losses the geometrical respectability just as the shape. Thus, numerous of ceramic shells neglect as for keeping up appropriate measurements, which thus results in insufficiently shaped components. Other issue looked by Investment Casting business is flaws in surfaces encompassing the depression of the shell. These surfaces flaws are framed amid the sintering procedure and is the aftereffect of ashes (produced from consuming wax designs) which are inserted into the ceramic shell. These ashes leave spaces, which thusly result in surface imperfections in the last metal part. These deformities regularly keep the metal part from meeting the required resistances and specifications. Yet another issue experienced by the business is cracking of the ceramic shell amid the 'wear out' stage. At the point when the wax design situated inside the ceramic shell, is exposed to warm, warm extension of the wax copy creates effort of weight about the inside of the ceramic shell. This weight, if not reduced, regularly makes the ceramic shell split. This early cracks created an expansion in either creation time or expenses. Consequently, there is a requirement as for ash less, formable investment casting wax organization.

Weighted Sum Method (WSM)

In this paper, this application of WSM method is been utilized to streamline the investment casting manufacturing process. The weighted sum model (WSM) is best, least difficult method in multi-criteria choice method (MCDM) multi-criteria for basic leadership utilized for assessing a number of choices regarding a number of choice criteria. It is essential to state here that it is applicable just when every one of the information is communicated in the very same unit. In the event that this isn't the situation, at that point the final result is equivalent to "including mango and apples". All in all, assume that a given MCDA issue is characterized by m options and n choice criteria. Besides, let us assume that every one of the criteria is advantage criteria, the higher the qualities are, the better it is. [10] The method includes including criteria esteems for every option and applying the individual criteria loads. By and large, the WSM just manages advantage criteria. Likewise, it was essential for cost Formula generally used in WSM method is:

$$A_{WSM}^* = \max_j \sum_{i=1}^M w_i a_{ij} \quad (1)$$

Here the $M \times N$ matrix A has data entries a_{ij} corresponding to the value of the j th (of N) alternatives in terms of the i th (of M)

[12] Despite the fact that the weighted sum method is anything but difficult to utilize, it gives just a direct estimation of the inclination function. In this way, the arrangement may not protect one's underlying inclinations regardless of how the weights are set, a significant thought that is frequently ignored. The arrangement relies upon numerous variables, one of which is the overall magnitude of the goal functions. Be that as it may, when setting the weights, just the overall significance of the goals ought to be accounted, not the general amount of the function values.

Results & Discussion

As taking input parameters as injection temperature (Inset of 70, 75 and 80 Degree Celsius), injection time (Inset of 40, 45 and 50 sec) and injection pressure (Inset of 0.5, 0.6 and 0.7 bar pressure) in different nine combinations. We got output parameters in form of linear shrinkage percentage and surface roughness, studying them in terms of with batch gives the, most optimised result using weight sum method at different weight set of ten, fifty, ninety percentage of weight on linear shrinkage gave results for our work. The best batch with ranking one in all three-weight percentage was batch nine with injection temperature of 80 degree Celsius, of injection time 50 seconds and injection pressure of 0.6 bar as input parameters with 2.327% linear shrinkage and surface roughness of 32.816 nm giving preference score of 0.99076 in 50%, 0.99815 in 10% and 0.98337 in 90%. The second-best batch with ranking one in all three-weight percentage was batch eight with injection temperature of 80 degree Celsius, of injection time 45 seconds and injection pressure of 0.5 bar as input parameters with 2.342% linear shrinkage and surface roughness of 34.5098nm giving preference score of 0.96308 in 50%, 0.95335 in 10% and 0.97280 in 90%. The third best batch with ranking one in all three-weight percentage was batch six with injection temperature of 75 degree Celsius, of injection time 50 seconds and injection pressure of 0.5 bar as input parameters with 2.302% linear shrinkage and surface roughness of 42.2754nm giving preference score of 0.88421 in 50%, 0.79784 in 10% and 0.97059 in 90%. Similarly, worst batch in two of weight criteria is having injection temperature of 70 degree Celsius, of injection time 50 seconds and injection pressure of 0.7 bar as input parameters with 2.284% linear shrinkage and surface

roughness of 86.5739nm giving preference score of 0.68953 in 50%, 0.44115 in 10% and 0.93791 in 90%. Second worst batch in all of weight criteria is having injection temperature of 70 degree Celsius, of injection time 45 seconds and injection pressure of 0.6 bar as input parameters with 2.296% linear shrinkage and surface roughness of 73.4143nm giving preference score of 0.69945 in 50%, 0.46180 in 10% and 0.93710 in 90%.The remaining data can be seen from table one and two with ranking of their preference score in order to select them as input parameters for production of investment casting method of manufacturing.

Table 1: Input variables and experimental responses

Exp. no.	Injection temperature (Degree Celsius)	Injection Time (Sec)	Injection Pressure (Bar)	Linear Shrinkage Percentage (LS)	Surface Roughness (SR) (nm)
1.	70	40	0.5	2.296%	73.4143
2.	70	45	0.6	2.292%	81.5523
3.	70	50	0.7	2.284%	86.5739
4.	75	40	0.6	2.319%	58.5907
5.	75	45	0.7	2.311%	62.6494
6.	75	50	0.5	2.302%	42.2754
7.	80	40	0.7	2.401%	46.8169
8.	80	45	0.5	2.342%	34.5098
9.	80	50	0.6	2.327%	32.816

Table 2: Preference scores as calculated by WSM for different weights to linear shrinkage (LS) (%). And Best alternatives as found by WSM for different weights.

Exp. No.	50%	Rank For 50%	10%	Rank For 10%	90%	Rank For 90%
1	0.72089	7	0.50177	7	0.94000	6
2	0.69945	8	0.46180	8	0.93710	8
3	0.68953	9	0.44115	9	0.93791	7
4	0.77250	5	0.60257	5	0.94243	4
5	0.75606	6	0.57026	6	0.94187	5
6	0.88421	3	0.79784	3	0.97059	3
7	0.82611	4	0.72598	4	0.92624	9
8	0.96308	2	0.95335	2	0.97280	2
9	0.99076	1	0.99815	1	0.98337	1

Conclusion

Using WSM method we get to know the that in investment casting the best result in terms of optimising linear shrinkage and surface finish was batch with ranking one in all three-weight percentage was batch nine with injection temperature of 80 degree Celsius, of injection time 50 seconds and an injection pressure of 0.6 bar as input parameters with 2.327% linear shrinkage and surface roughness of 32.816 nm giving preference score of 0.99076 in 50%, 0.99815 in 10% and 0.98337 in 90%.And worst batch in two of weight criteria (10% and 50%)is having injection temperature of 70 degree Celsius, of injection time 50 seconds and injection pressure of 0.7 bar as input parameters with 2.284% linear shrinkage and surface roughness of 86.5739nm giving preference score of 0.68953 in 50%, 0.44115 in 10% and 0.93791 in 90%.Using this data we can tell that batch nine had the most optimized result.

Reference

- [1]. Jones, S., and C. Yuan. "Advances in shell moulding for investment casting." *Journal of Materials Processing Technology* 135, no. 2-3 (2003): 258-265.
- [2]. Bihari, A. K., M. Ramachandran, and V. Kumar. "Effect of process parameters on roughness and hardness of surface and dimensional accuracy of lost wax process casting." *J Material Sci Eng* 4, no. 175 (2015): 2169-0022.
- [3]. Pattnaik, Sarojrani, D. Benny Karunakar, and P. K. Jha. "Developments in investment casting process—a review." *Journal of Materials Processing Technology* 212, no. 11 (2012): 2332-2348.
- [4]. Kim, Shaekwang, Myounggyun Kim, Taewhan Hong, Heekook Kim, and Youngjig Kim. "Investment casting of AZ91HP magnesium alloy." *Metals and Materials* 6, no. 3 (2000): 275-279.

- [5]. Rao, R. Venkata, V. D. Kalyankar, and G. Waghmare. "Parameters optimization of selected casting processes using teaching–learning-based optimization algorithm." *Applied Mathematical Modelling* 38, no. 23 (2014): 5592-5608.
- [6]. Gu, X. J., J. H. Zhu, and W. H. Zhang. "The lattice structure configuration design for stereolithography investment casting pattern using topology optimization." *Rapid Prototyping Journal* 18, no. 5 (2012): 353-361.
- [7]. Gebelin, Jean-Christophe, Aleksander M. Cendrowicz, and Mark R. Jolly. "Modelling of the wax injection process for the investment casting process: Prediction of defects." In *Third Int. Conf. on CFD in the Minerals and Process Industries, CSIRO, Melbourne, Australia*, pp. 415-420. 2003.
- [8]. Ramachandran, M. "Benildus, Failure Analysis of Turbine Blade Using Computational Fluid Dynamics." *International Journal of Applied Engineering Research* 10, no. 11 (2015): 10230-10233.
- [9]. Jenarathanan, M. P., S. Ramesh Kumar, and R. Jeyapaul. "Modelling of machining force in end milling of GFRP composites using MRA and ANN." *Australian Journal of Mechanical Engineering* 14, no. 2 (2016): 104-114.
- Sandhu, C. S., and A. Sharma. "Investigation of optimize wax pattern in the investment casting by using the different form of waxes." *IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering* 3, no. 4 (2012): 1-6.
- [10]. Pattnaik, Sarojrani, D. B. Karunakar, and P. K. Jha. "Parametric optimization of the investment casting process using utility concept and Taguchi method." *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part L: Journal of Materials: Design and Applications* 228, no. 4 (2014): 288-300.
- [11]. Jenarathanan, M. P., and R. Jeyapaul. "Optimisation of machining parameters on milling of GFRP composites by desirability function analysis using Taguchi method." *International journal of Engineering, science and Technology* 5, no. 4 (2013): 22-36.
- [12]. Zolfani, Sarfaraz Hashemkhani, Mohammad Hasan Aghdaie, Arman Derakhti, Edmundas Kazimieras Zavadskas, and Mohammad Hossein Morshed Varzandeh. "Decision making on business issues with foresight perspective; an application of new hybrid MCDM model in shopping mall locating." *Expert systems with applications* 40, no. 17 (2013): 7111-7121.